

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/880,833	06/15/2001	Takeshi Kameta	Q64955	6595
7:	590 10/03/2003		EXAMINER	
SUGHRUE MION ZINN			MILLER, CARL STUART	
MACPEAK & 2100 Pennsylva	SEAS, PLLC ania Avenue, NW		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Washington, DC 20037-3213			3747	

DATE MAILED: 10/03/2003

4

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<u> </u>		<u>.</u>	4				
	Application N .	Applicant(s)					
	09/880,833	KAMETA ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Carl S. Miller	3747					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet wi	th the corresp ndence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status	36(a). In no event, however, may a r within the statutory minimum of thin vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MON cause the application to become AE	eply be timely filed  y (30) days will be considered timely.  THS from the mailing date of this communication.  ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	<u>.</u>						
2a)☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)☐ Thi	2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims							
4) Claim(s) <u>1-18</u> is/are pending in the application							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray	vn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-18</u> are subject to restriction and/or € <b>Application Papers</b>	election requirement.						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.						
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accep	oted or b) objected to by t	he Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the		, ,					
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on		isapproved by the Examiner.					
If approved, corrected drawings are required in rep	•						
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa	aminer.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).					
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:							
Certified copies of the priority documents		•					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
<ul> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International But</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list</li> </ul>	reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	•					
14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic	•		n)				
a) The translation of the foreign language pro			···,·				
15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti							
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of	Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) nformal Patent Application (PTO-152)					

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 04-01) Application/Control Number: 09/880,833

Art Unit: 3747

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct

species of the claimed invention: Figures 1-22 and 23, respectively

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, 1,12,14 and 16 are generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Carl Miller at telephone number 703-308-2653.

Carl S. Miller Primary Examiner